OR DO NO THE

1	UNITED STATES DI	ISTRICT COURT	U.B. EMSTRECT COURT EMSTERN ENSTRECT OF WASHINGTON
2	EASTERN DISTRICT	F WASHINGTON	FEB 24 2012
3 4 5 6 7	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  Plaintiff,  vs.  ZACHARY A. OSBORN,	Case No.: PO-1 - PROPOSED- ORDER OF PE DIVERSION	
8	Defendant.	}	

Upon consideration of the parties' Pre-Trial Diversion Agreement, and being fully advised of the facts and circumstances of this case, the Court finds the Defendant has agreed to comply with the terms and conditions of the Pre-Trial Diversion Agreement; that the Court finds the Defendant has acknowledged the admissibility of the stipulated facts in any criminal hearing on the underlying offense or offenses held subsequent to revocation of the order granting pre-trial diversion; that the Defendant's statements were made knowingly and voluntarily; and that the Defendant has knowingly and intelligently waived his Constitutional and statutory rights to a speedy trial, to question those witnesses against him, to call witnesses on his behalf, and to present evidence or a defense at trial.

## NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- 1. The Defendant is accepted for pre-trial diversion;
- 2. The Defendant shall comply with all the terms and conditions as set forth in the Pre-Trial Diversion Agreement;
- 3. If the Court finds that the Defendant violated any term of the Pre-trial diversion Agreement, the Court shall enter judgment pursuant to the procedures outlined in the agreement.

Case 2:11-po-04005-JPH Document 14 Filed 02/24/12
The Defendant shall not be supervised by the United States Probation Office 4. during the deferral period. HON. James P. Hutton United States Magistrate Judge